

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/925,972	FEI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nicholas D. Rosen	3625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nicholas D. Rosen. (3) Steven B. Phillips.
 (2) Paul Berman. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 04 June 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-39.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
 Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner Rosen called Mr. Paul Berman at 202-662-6000, and was told that the case had been transferred elsewhere, although he was not sure whether a change of power of attorney had been filed, and that he, at least, had not filed a response to the last Office action. Examiner Rosen then called attorney Steven B. Phillips, at Moore & Van Allen, 919-286-8124, to whom Mr. Berman had referred him, and Mr. Phillips confirmed that the case had been allowed to go abandoned, and also that no change of power of attorney had been officially filed.